UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,171	03/24/2004	Craig William Shaw	13276-1	4104
1059 BERESKIN AN	7590 09/11/200 ND PARR	EXAMINER		
40 KING STREET WEST			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
BOX 401 TORONTO, OI	N M5H 3Y2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/807,171	SHAW ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DANIEL L. ROBINSON	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ma 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1,2,4,7,8 and 10-26 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,5,6 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-24-2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rizvi et al.(U.S.Pat.5,417,992).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rizvi in view of Shefet(U.S.Pat.7,040,974). Rizvi discloses a supercritical fluid extrusion process and apparatus that shows many of the features of the claimed invention but fails to explicitly show processing raw meat such as fish or poultry. Shefet discloses a casingless food production methods and systems and associated traveling matable mold shells that explicitly shows processing raw meat such as fish or poultry. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to process fish and poultry as taught by Shefet so as to make sausages.

Claims 15, 16, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rizvi in view of Miller(U.S.Pat.5,186,0908). Rizvi does not explicitly show using a bag at an outlet of the apparatus. Miller discloses an apparatus for buttering and applying cheese toppings to bread that explicitly shows using a bag. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to use a bag as taught by Miller with the apparatus of Rizvi because the bag can receive a food item.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rizvi in view of Kuehl et al.(U.S.Pat.6,689,406). Rizvi does not explicitly show a moisture content of about 5-10 percent. Kuehl discloses a chocolate coating and processing device for same that explicitly shows processing a food with a about a 5-10 percent moisture content. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention to process said moisture content as taught by Kuehl so as to process both fat with a confectionary content.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rizvi in view of Wu et al.(U.S.Pat.6,183,799). Rizvi does not explicitly show a moisture content of about 5-10 percent. Wu discloses candy extrusion and processing device for same that explicitly shows processing a food with a about a 45-55 percent moisture content. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the claimed invention to process said moisture content as taught by Wu so as to process both sugar and water.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,171 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Claims 17 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rezvi in view of Ou-Young(U.S.Pat.6,321,642). Rezvi does not explicitly show processing a stuffing material. Ou-Young discloses a filling mechanism for food stuffing which explicitly shows processing a stuffing product. It would have been obvious at the tiem of the claimed invention to process a stuffing product because the stuffing can be processed without de-oiling.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5, 6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL L. ROBINSON whose telephone number is (571)272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,171 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DIr /Daniel L Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742